

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/797,391	03/10/2004	Eleanor Schuler	920607-95597	4322		
7590 02/02/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Francis Law Group 1942 Embarcadero			ALTER, A	ALTER, ALYSSA M		
Oakland, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3762			
			DATE MAILED, 02/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/797,391	SCHULER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alyssa M. Alter	3762		

Defend the Eiline of a A LD L f		GONGLEN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS			-7.			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauting appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	. 🔯 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 13, 15-16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.			
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13.		<i>∕</i>	r			
		GEORGE R. E. PRIMARY EX	AMINER			

Ulym W. alter Alyssa M. Alter

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of "the regulation of a plurality of cardiac functions" to claims 1 and 15 broadens the scope of the claims. The specification is enabling for affecting cardiac pacing, but not for a plurality of cardiac functions. Furthermore, it is unclear to the examiner what functions the Applicant intends to be encompassed by the "plurality of cardiac functions". In addition, the insertion of "regulation of a plurality" and deletion of a "second" waveform signal will require for the search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a) rejections have been overcome based on the cancelation of claims 6-12, 14 and 17.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment adds new subject matter since "the regulation of a plurality of cardiac functions" to claims 1 and 15 broadens the scope of the specification. The specification is enabling for affecting cardiac pacing, but not for a plurality of cardiac functions. Furthermore, it is unclear to the examiner what functions the Applicant intends to be encompassed by the "plurality of cardiac functions"..